G R S App Γ IX 4]

Previous References

1947 - 4A

(hul)

File No 73-47

Serial Nos 36, 37

Later References

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

Serial Nos

Two

Part B for May 48

SUBJECT

Audit notes of the Hoskote Municipality for 1946-47

46507-0 & D-3 5-48

To D-e B'lore R.

Comptroller's Sanction re actual expenditure of Rs 250-13-0 as a special case

Rs

5830/ml. ml 73 47 - (3637)

Accounts notes of Hoskote Municipality for the Years 44-45 and 45-46

From

The Dy Commr, Bangalore District

No M 6-376/47-48 Dt 1-4-48.

Requests Sanction for waiver the usual objection for having got the Road Repairs executed, at Rs 450/ executed by the Hoskote Town Municipal Council and not Calling for Tenders, Contrary to the provisions of Sec 38(5) of the M T M Act 1933

1) An amount of Rs 450 13-0 only is stated to have been paid to the Contractor as against the Estimate for the work for Rs 450/

2) Sanction of Govt is sought to Regularise the transaction and enable payment of balance due to the Contractor

3) Order of the Govt may be Sanctioned with the observation that the irregularities Stated not to be Repeated

4) It may be done

9/4

(for Secretary) Dy Secy

5830

5) Sanction may be accorded as proposed at 'A' above

Minister
2 5.8

15/4

OFFICE OF THE MINISTER FOR LOCAL SELF GOVERNMENT
No 2205
Date 1965

6) Sanction as at 'H'

27/4/65

28/4 3029

7)

8) Submitted with a draft GO for approval

30/4/65

issue

3/5/65

B 7/5 16507-8/RE NO 73-47-37 d 3-5-65

To the Deputy Commissioner -

Comptroller -

Audit Notes of Hospitals Rly 1964-65 & 65-66

- Sanctioned -

mL 73-47-36

No- 70/17-48 Office of the Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District,
Bangalore dated 1st April 1948

To

S Abdul Aleem, Esq., B.A.,
Secretary to Government,
Revenue Department,
Bangalore

Sir,

Audit notes of objection Municipality for 1944-45 and 1945-46

I have the honour to state that an estimate for Rs. 4,0/- in respect of the several roads in Doddaballapur town during 1944-45 was sanctioned by the Municipal Council, as per the resolution No. XIV dated 9-11-1944 in which section 68(2) of the Town Municipal Act tenders had to be called for before entrusting the work to any contractor. In this case the above procedure was not followed and the work was entrusted to a contractor as per sanction to estimate since the work was not taken up at one stretch but the road was laid in parts as necessity for the same arose. My letter also went to the Municipality as per files enclosed in the file.

At the time of audit of accounts of the Municipality an objection was raised for the non-compliance of rules while entrusting the above works, and causing the infringement of statutory provision of section 138 (2) of the Municipal Act. Further it is also objected that the sanction was obtained from the contractor while entrusting the work. The Auditor has however suggested that the above irregularities may be regularised by obtaining sanction of the Government for the action taken by the Municipality in having entrusted the work to the contractor Abdul Aziz without calling for tenders and without obtaining the Government sanction.

The Deputy Commissioner reports in his letter No. D.O.(I) 47-48 dated 10-2-1948 that although the Municipal President could have taken the necessary steps he has not done so in the interest of work which was not at all executed at one time but on several occasions to suit their need. He however states that on works costing over Rs. 0/- have been got executed after calling in tenders and requests that the present audit objection may kindly be waived by obtaining Government sanction.

Out of the sum total of Rs. 4,0/13/- mentioned above only Rs. 2,0/13/- has been paid as noted in the margin.

1	Vouchers No.	17/4-45	Rs. 170-8-0
2		139/44-45	70- -0
3		140/4-45	10- -0
			250-13-0

In the circumstances stated above I request that the sanction of Govt. may kindly be obtained for waiving the audit objection and regularising the transaction. The connected records accompany for further reference.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant

Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District

L 16507-8/m173-47-37
3-5-48
Proceedings of the Govt of
H.H. the Maharaja of Mysore

Read -

Letter No 46-376/47-48 dated the 1st April 1948 from the Deputy Commr Bangalore District, stating that the Hoskote Town Municipal Council sanctioned an estimate for Rs 4501-, as per their resolution dated 30-11-1944, for patch repairs of several roads - the Municipality intend calling for tenders, contrary to Section 38(5) of the proviso Town Municipalities Act and for the repairs executed at intervals, and that no objection has been raised to this procedure adopted by the Municipality and requesting sanction of Govt to the payment of the ~~school~~ amount of Rs 250-13- (Rupees two hundred

and fifty and annas thirteen only)
actually payable to the Contractor
The Contractor waives his
audit objection, as explained
estimated amount of Rs 4501-
and agrees that the Government
has reported that for all works
costing ~~over~~ over Rs 200/- statutory
provisions have been followed and
that the same were not observed in
the present case as the work was not
executed at one time but on several
occasions piecemeal Etc

Order No- Lr No 16507-8/ dated 3-8-48

The actual expenditure of Rs 250 13-0 reported is hereby
is sanctioned, as a special
case, with the observation that
such irregularities should not
be repeated

Sd
for Secretary to Govt
Revenue Dept

To
The Deputy Commissioner Bangalore (with records)
The Comptroller to Govt

PROCEEDINGS OF THE GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

Read:-

Letter No. M6 376/47-48 dated the 1st April 1948 from the Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District, stating that the Hoskote Town Municipal Council, sanctioned an estimate for Rs 450/- as per their resolution dated 30th November 1944, for patch repairs of several roads in the Municipality without calling for tenders, contrary to Section 38(5) of the Mysore Town Municipalities Act and got the repairs executed at intervals and that audit objection has been raised to this procedure adopted by the Municipality and requesting sanction of Government to the payment of the amount of Rs 250-13-0 (Rupees two hundred and fifty-- and annas thirteen only) actually payable in this behalf to the contractor waiving the audit objection, as against the estimated amount of Rs 450/- and adding that the President, Hoskote Municipal Council, has reported that for all works costing over Rs 200/-, statutory provisions have been followed and that the same were not observed in the present case as the work was not executed at one time but on several occasions piecemeal etc

ORDER No L 1650 7-8 Fmk 73-47-37

Dated, Bangalore, the 3-8-48 April 1948

The actual expenditure of Rs 250-13-0 referred to above is sanctioned, as a special case, with the observation that such irrgularities should not be repeated.

for SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT,
Revenue Department

To

The Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District (with records)
The Comptroller to Government